arXiv:1703.01265v1 [math-ph] 3 Mar 2017

Asymptotic soliton like solutions to the singularly perturbed Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equation with variable coefficients

Samoilenko Valerii, Samoilenko Yuliia
Department of Mathematical Physics, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine, 01601
valsamyul@gmail.com, vsam@univ.kiev.ua, yusam@univ.kiev.ua

Abstract. The paper deals with a problem of asymptotic soliton like solutions to the Benjamin–Bona–Mahony (BBM) equation with a small parameter at the highest derivative and variable coefficients depending on the variables x, t as well as a small parameter. There is proposed an algorithm of constructing the solutions and there are proved theorems on accuracy with which the solutions satisfy the BBM equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35C20; 35Q53; 35B25

Keywords: KdV like equation; Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equation; singularly perturbed equation; soliton like solutions; asymptotic solutions

1. Introduction In the modern mathematics and theoretical physics much attention is paid to the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$u_t + uu_x - u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{1}$$

as well as its different generalizations.

The equation has become object for comprehensive study of many researchers in the XX-th century. It had been happened after discovery of its particular solutions describing the waves that have some features in 1965. These waves interact among themselves in special way, namely, they don't change their shapes after their collision. These particular solutions have been called solitons [1] and the solutions have been studying by physicists because soliton solutions describe propagation of long waves in shallow water as well as in media of different kind. Later similar soliton solutions have been also found for a lot of nonlinear dynamical systems, in particular, for the modified KdV equation [2], the nonlinear Shrodinger equation [3] and the derivative Shrodinger equation [4], the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation [5], the Kaup system [6] and many

others. At present soliton theory is widely applied in hydrodynamics, plasma physics, nonlinear optic, quantum field theory, solid physics, biology, etc.

A number of monographs and numerous articles are devoted to different aspects of soliton theory. Among these papers there are many ones concerning different analytical and qualitative properties of solutions to the KdV equation and its diverse generalizations. For studying the equation there were used various methods and approaches [1], [7] – [18] including numerical methods that are usually used for researching complex nonlinear models.

While considering the KdV equation there were tried to find new nonlinear systems possessing the properties that are similar to the properties of the KdV equation. In other words, there were searching the equations simulating propagation of long waves and having soliton solutions.

In 1966 Peregrine D.H. [19] proposed equation

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0 \tag{2}$$

as alternative to the KdV equation.

Equation (2) describes propagation of long waves in nonlinear dispersive media and has soliton solutions. It was fundamentally studied by Benjamin T.B., Bona J.L., Mahony J.J. in [20]. The researchers have demonstrated the existence of classical solutions to (2) and the uniqueness of solution to the initial-value problem for it. In [20] the solutions are also proved to depend continuously on the initial values as well as on the forcing functions added to the right-hand side of equation (2). In other words, the initial-value problem for equation (2) is confirmed to be classically well possed in the Hadamard sense.

Equation (2) was called regularized long wave equation [20]. At present equation (2) is also called Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equation or BBM equation briefly.

After publishing paper [20] equation (2) has been discovered to describe a lot of physical phenomena and processes, in particular, propagation of long waves in liquids, acoustic waves in anharmonic crystals, acoustic-gravity waves in compressible fluids and others [21]. During the following studying equation (2) there have been found a lot of its interesting properties. It was displayed that equation (2) in some sense "has much nicer mathematical properties than the KdV equation" as pointed in [22, 23].

Indeed, the KdV equation and the BBM equation have several different mathematical properties. First of all, it should be noted the BBM equation is more convenient for studying through numerical technique than the KdV equation because the numerical schemes [19], [22, 23] applied for (2) are stable

for greater time step [12] than numerical schemes proposed for (1). That is why the BBM equation was firstly intensively studied through different numerical methods. As a result, there have been found many improbable properties of equation (2). For example, in the case of the BBM equation the numerical study of the two-soliton collision and three-soliton collision appointed "collisional stability" or elastic interaction [22, 23]. In other words, it was numerically demonstrated that after nonlinear interaction the solitary waves again have their initial amplitudes.

On the other hand, there was also found the inelastic collision of two solitary waves moving in opposite directions [24]. Moreover, the BBM equation has only three conservation laws although the KdV equation has infinite conservation law hierarchy. As consequence, the equation (2) is not integrable.

Because of the numerical results on existence of both the two- and three-soliton solutions to the BBM equation it was naturally to clarify whether the equation has an analytical N-soliton solution as the KdV equation. Many authors studied the problem through various techniques but without success [24] – [27]. At present it is known that the BBM equation has neither exact two-soliton solution nor exact multi-soliton solution [12], p. 649.

At the same time it has been found a number of exact and numerical solutions and there have been discovered new different qualitative properties to the BBM equation. For example, in [21, 28, 29] through *sech* – *tanh*-method and *cos*-function method there have been found different exact solutions to (2). In particular, through *sech* – *tanh*-method it was found that the BBM equation has a soliton solution of the following form [29]

$$u(x,t) = 3(a-1)\, ch^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{a-1}{a}}(x-at)+C\right), \tag{3}$$

where a, C are some real constants.

Moreover, in [30] there are found shock solutions to equation (2), in [31] there is studied asymptotic stability of its solitary waves, in [32] there is proved the existence of the global attractor for it and in [33] there is established existence of the global solutions to the BBM equation.

Taking into account that equation (2) has one-soliton solution mentioned above it's naturally to consider problem on constructing asymptotic soliton like solutions to the BBM equation with variable coefficients and a small parameter at the highest derivative analogously to the KdV equation [34] – [36].

Thus the paper is devoted to constructing asymptotic soliton like solutions to the singularly perturbed equation of the following form

$$a(x,t,\varepsilon)u_t + b(x,t,\varepsilon)u_x + c(x,t,\varepsilon)uu_x - \varepsilon^n u_{xxt} = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbf{R}\times[0;T], \tag{4}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, n is natural and functions $a(x,t,\varepsilon)$, $b(x,t,\varepsilon)$, $c(x,t,\varepsilon)$ are generally infinitely differentiable with respect to all variables $(x,t,\varepsilon) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0;T] \times [0;\varepsilon_0)$ for some $T > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

The functions $a(x,t,\varepsilon)$, $b(x,t,\varepsilon)$, $c(x,t,\varepsilon)$ are supposed to have asymptotic expansions

$$a(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varepsilon^k a_k(x,t) + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}),$$
$$b(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varepsilon^k b_k(x,t) + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}),$$
$$c(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \varepsilon^k c_k(x,t) + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}). \tag{5}$$

In addition we suppose $a_0(x,t)\, b_0(x,t)\, c_0(x,t) \neq 0$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0;T]$.

Below it's given an algorithm for constructing asymptotic soliton like solutions to equation (4) as well as there is found the accuracy with which constructed asymptotic solutions satisfy equation (4). The algorithm is analogous to the one developed for constructing asymptotic one-, two- and multi-phase soliton like solutions to the KdV equation with variable coefficients [34, 35, 36]. It is based on nonlinear WKB technique. In this connection it should be noted that asymptotic soliton like solutions to the integrable type equations were firstly constructed by Maslov V.P. and his coauthors [37]. They applied the nonlinear WKB technique developed for constructing quasi-periodic solutions to the singularly perturbed KdV equation with constant coefficient [38] in 1974.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give preliminary notes and formulate auxiliary definitions. In Section 3 there is proposed an algorithm of constructing asymptotic soliton like solution to the BBM equation. The algorithm is described in details. Here we discuss procedure of finding terms of asymptotic expansions and, in particular, solvability of differential equations for regular and singular parts of the asymptotic solutions. In Section 4 there are proved theorems on justification of proposed algorithm.

2. Preliminary notes and definitions

Let $S = S(\mathbf{R})$ be a space of quickly decreasing functions, i.e. the space of infinitely differentiable on $\mathbf{R}$ functions such that for any integers $m, n \geq 0$ the condition

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} | \, x^m \, D^n u(x) \, | < +\infty$$

is satisfied.

Let $G_1 = G_1(\mathbf{R} \times [0;T] \times \mathbf{R})$ be a space of infinitely differentiable functions $f = f(x,t,\tau)$, $(x,t,\tau) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0;T] \times \mathbf{R}$ such that there are fulfilled the following conditions [37]:

1^0. the relation

$$\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} \tau^n \frac{\partial^{\,p}}{\partial x^p}\,\frac{\partial^{\,q}}{\partial\, t^q}\,\frac{\partial^{\,r}}{\partial \tau^r}\, f(x,t,\tau) = 0, \quad (x,t)\in K,$$

takes place;

2^0. there exists such a differentiable function $f^-(x,t)$ that on any compact set $K \subset \mathbf{R}\times[0;T]$ condition

$$\lim_{\tau\to-\infty} \tau^n \frac{\partial^{\,p}}{\partial\, x^p}\,\frac{\partial^{\,q}}{\partial\, t^q}\,\frac{\partial^{\,r}}{\partial\, \tau^r}\, \big(f(x,t,\tau) - f^-(x,t)\big) = 0, \quad (x,t)\in K,$$

is satisfied for any non-negative integers n, p, q, r uniformly with respect to $(x,t)\in K$.

Let $G_1^0 = G_1^0(\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]\times\mathbf{R}) \subset G_1$ be a space of functions $f = f(x,t,\tau) \in G_1$, $(x,t,\tau)\in \mathbf{R}\times[0;T]\times\mathbf{R}$ such that uniformly with respect to variables (x,t) on any compact $K\subset\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]$ the following condition

$$\lim_{\tau\to-\infty} f(x,t,\tau) = 0$$

takes place.

Later we use definition of an asymptotic soliton like function.

Definition. *A function $u = u(x,t,\varepsilon)$, where ε is a small parameter, is called an asymptotic one phase soliton like function if for any integer $N\geq 0$ it can be represented in the form of*

$$u(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon^j \left[u_j(x,t) + V_j(x,t,\tau)\right] + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}), \quad \tau = \frac{x-\varphi(t)}{\varepsilon}, \qquad (6)$$

where $\varphi(t)\in C^\infty([0;T])$ is a scalar real function; $u_j(x,t)\in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}\times[0;T])$, $j=\overline{0,N}$; $V_0(x,t,\tau)\in G_1^0$; $V_j(x,t,\tau)\in G_1$, $j=\overline{1\,,N}$.

The function $x-\varphi(t)$ is called a phase of the one phase soliton like function $u(x,t,\varepsilon)$. A curve determined by equation $x-\varphi(t)=0$ is called a curve of discontinuity for function (6).

It should be noted the definition 1 was formulated in monograph [37] concerning problem of constructing asymptotic soliton like solution to the KdV equation with small parameter at the highest derivative for the case when power of small parameter is equal to 2. In general, asymptotic soliton like solution to the singularly perturbed KdV equation has more complicated structure [34] depending on the power of a small parameter at the highest derivative.

In sequel, there is used the notation of asymptotic analysis of the following form $\Psi(x,t,\varepsilon) = O\left(\varepsilon^N\right)$, $\varepsilon\to 0$. It means that there exist such values $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $C > 0$ that $|\Psi(x,t,\varepsilon)| \leq C\,\varepsilon^N$ for all $\varepsilon\in(0;\varepsilon_0)$, $(x,t)\in K$, where compact

set $K \subset \mathbf{R} \times [0;T]$ and value C is only depending on the number N and the set $K \subset \mathbf{R} \times [0;T]$.

3. Algorithm of constructing asymptotic solutions. Let us consider a problem of constructing asymptotic one phase soliton like solutions to equation (4). Analogously to case of the KdV equation [34, 35, 36] form of the asymptotic solutions depends on the power n of a small parameter at the highest derivative in (4). So, asymptotic solutions to equation (4) are written in the form

$$u(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon^j u_j(x,t) + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon^j V_j(x,t,\tau) + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}), \quad \tau = \frac{x-\varphi(t)}{\varepsilon^{n/2}}, \quad (7)$$

when n is an even number, and

$$u(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \varepsilon^j u_j(x,t) + \sum_{j=0}^{k} \varepsilon^j V_j(x,t,\tau) + \varepsilon^k \sum_{j=1}^{2N-2k} \varepsilon^{j/2} u_j(x,t) +$$

$$+\varepsilon^k \sum_{j=1}^{2N-2k} \varepsilon^{j/2} V_j(x,t,\tau) + O(\varepsilon^{N+\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \tau = \frac{x-\varphi(t)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\ \varepsilon^k}, \quad (8)$$

when n is such an odd number that $n = 2k+1$, $k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Further, we consider the case $n = 2$ allowing us to demonstrate all details of algorithm on searching asymptotic solutions to equation (4). Thus, the asymptotic solutions are constructed as follows

$$u(x,t,\varepsilon) = Y_N(x,t,\tau,\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{N+1}), \quad (9)$$

where

$$Y_N(x,t,\tau,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon^j \left[u_j(x,t) + V_j(x,t,\tau)\right], \quad \tau = \frac{x-\varphi(t)}{\varepsilon}.$$

The function

$$U_N(x,t,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon^j u_j(x,t) \quad (10)$$

is called a regular part of asymptotic solution (9), and the function

$$V_N(x,t,\tau,\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon^j V_j(x,t,\tau) \quad (11)$$

is called a singular part of asymptotic solution (9).

It's clear $Y_N(x,t,\tau,\varepsilon) = U_N(x,t,\varepsilon) + V_N(x,t,\tau,\varepsilon)$.

In order to obtain differential equations for terms of the regular and the singular parts of asymptotic series (9) we apply basic ideas of asymptotic analysis [39] – [41]. In more details, we put series (9) into equation (4), take into account

property $V_j(x,t,\tau) \in G_1$, $j = \overline{0,N}$, and equate coefficients at the same powers of a small parameter in left and right sides of the relation after the substitution.

So, terms of regular part (10) satisfy the following system of the first order partial differential equations

$$a_0(x,t)\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} + b_0(x,t)\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} + c_0(x,t)u_0\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$a_0(x,t)\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} + b_0(x,t)\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x} + c_0(x,t)\left(u_j\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} + u_0\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x}\right) = \\ = f_j(x,t,u_0,u_1,\ldots,u_{j-1}), \quad j = \overline{1,N}, \tag{13}$$

where functions $f_j(x,t,u_0,u_1,\ldots,u_{j-1})$, $j = \overline{1,N}$, are recurrently determined.

System (12), (13) easy to see to contain the only quasi-linear equation while the others are linear ones. The functions $u_j(x,t)$, $j = \overline{0,N}$, can be easy recurrently found through integrating equations (12), (13), for example, by means of the method of characteristics [42]. Regarding it the terms of regular part (10) of asymptotic are supposed to be known.

3.1. Singular part of asymptotic. The terms of singular part (11) are defined as solutions to system of the third order partial differential equations

$$\varphi'(t)\,\frac{\partial^3 V_0}{\partial\tau^3} + \left(b_0(x,t) - \varphi'(t)\,a_0(x,t)\right)\,\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial\tau} + c_0(x,t)\,(u_0+V_0)\,\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial\tau} = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\varphi'(t)\,\frac{\partial^3 V_j}{\partial\tau^3} + \left(b_0(x,t) - \varphi'(t)\,a_0(x,t)\right)\frac{\partial V_j}{\partial\tau} + c_0(x,t)\left(u_0\frac{\partial V_j}{\partial\tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\,(V_0V_j)\right) = \\ = F_j(x,t,\tau), \tag{15}$$

where functions $F_j(x,t,\tau) = F_j(t,V_0(x,t,\tau),\ldots,V_{j-1}(x,t,\tau),\ u_0(x,t),\ \ldots,\ u_j(x,t))$, are defined recurrently after determining the functions $u_0(x,t)$, $u_1(x,t)$, …, $u_j(x,t)$, $V_0(x,t,\tau)$, $V_1(x,t,\tau)$, …, $V_{j-1}(x,t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{1,N}$.

Let remind the solutions to equations (14), (15) have to belong to the spaces G_1^0, G_1 correspondingly. Besides, under the searching the functions $V_j(x,t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{0,N}$, we have also to find a function $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ defining a discontinuity curve $\Gamma = \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}\times[0;T] :\ x = \varphi(t)\}$.

Taking the remarks into attention we may study system (14), (15) as follows. Firstly we assume the function $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ is known. Then equations (14), (15) are considered on discontinuity curve Γ and value t in the equations is supposed to be a parameter. In this connection the function $v_0(t,\tau) = V_0(x,t,\tau)\Big|_{x=\varphi(t)}$ can be found in explicit form.

Secondly, we prove $v_0(t,\tau)$ to be a quickly decreasing function with respect to variable τ, i.e. $v_0(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$. Then using property $V_1(x,t,\tau) \in G_1$ we find the

solution $v_1(t,\tau) = V_1(x,t,\tau)\Big|_{x=\varphi(t)}$ in explicit form too. Moreover, we receive necessary and sufficient condition on existence the solution in the space of quickly decreasing functions with respect to the variable τ as $\tau \to +\infty$. Later the condition is used for obtaining nonlinear ordinary differential equation for function $\varphi = \varphi(t)$.

Now we are going to describe the algorithm in details. Denote $v_j = v_j(t,\tau) = V_j(x,t,\tau)\Big|_{x=\varphi(t)}$, $j = \overline{0,N}$. From (4), (14) it follows that functions v_j, $j = \overline{0,N}$, satisfy differential equations:

$$\varphi'(t)\,\frac{\partial^3 v_0}{\partial\tau^3} + (b_0(\varphi,t) - \varphi'(t)\,a_0(\varphi,t) + c_0(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t))\,\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial\tau} + c_0(\varphi,t)\,v_0\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial\tau} = 0; \tag{16}$$

$$\varphi'(t)\,\frac{\partial^3 v_j}{\partial\tau^3} + (b_0(\varphi,t) - \varphi'(t)\,a_0(\varphi,t) + c_0(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t))\,\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial\tau} + c_0(\varphi,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\,(v_0 v_j) = \mathcal{F}_j(t,\tau), \tag{17}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_j(t,\tau) = F_j(t, V_0(x,t,\tau), \ldots, V_{j-1}(x,t,\tau), u_0(x,t), \ldots, u_j(x,t))\Big|_{x=\varphi(t)}$, are easy defined recurrently after finding functions $u_0(x,t)$, $u_1(x,t)$, …, $u_j(x,t)$, $V_0(x,t,\tau)$, $V_1(x,t,\tau)$, …, $V_{j-1}(x,t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{1,N}$. Here and below $\varphi = \varphi(t)$.

In (17), for example,

$$\mathcal{F}_1(t,\tau) = a_0(\varphi,t)\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t} + c_0(\varphi,t)u_{0x}(\varphi,t)\,v_0 + (c_{0x}(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t) + c_0(\varphi,t)u_{0x}(\varphi,t) - \varphi'(t)\,a_{0x}(\varphi,t) + b_{0x}(\varphi,t))\,\tau\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial\tau} + (c_{0x}(\varphi,t)\tau + c_1(\varphi,t))\,v_0\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial\tau} + (c_0(\varphi,t)u_1(\varphi,t) + c_1(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t) - \varphi'(t)\,a_1(\varphi,t) + b_1(\varphi,t))\,\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial\tau} - \frac{\partial^3 v_0}{\partial\tau^2\partial t}. \tag{18}$$

Let us consider equation (16). Its solution we can find in the following way. By integrating it with respect to τ we obtain

$$\varphi'(t)\,\frac{d^2 v_0}{d\tau^2} = A(\varphi,t)v_0(t,\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\,c_0(\varphi,t)v_0^2(t,\tau) + C_1(t), \tag{19}$$

where

$$A(\varphi,t) = \varphi'(t)\,a_0(\varphi,t) - b_0(\varphi,t) - c_0(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t). \tag{20}$$

Since $v_0(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$ we can put $C_1(t) \equiv 0$.

Multiplying both sides of equation (19) by $dv_0/d\tau$ gives us

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\varphi'(t)\,\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{dv_0}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\,A(\varphi,t)\frac{dv_0^2}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{6}\,c_0(\varphi,t)\frac{dv_0^3}{d\tau}.$$

By further integration of the relation in τ we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\varphi'(t)\,\left(\frac{dv_0}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\,A(\varphi,t)v_0^2 - \frac{1}{6}\,c_0(\varphi,t)v_0^3 + C_2(t). \tag{21}$$

Property $v_0(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$ allows us to take $C_2(t) \equiv 0$.

Finally, we have solution to equation (16) in the following form

$$v_0(t,\tau) = \frac{3A(\varphi,t)}{c_0(\varphi,t)}\,ch^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\sqrt{\frac{A(\varphi,t)}{\varphi'(t)}}(\tau + C_0(t))\right). \tag{22}$$

Function (22) is obviously to be quickly decreasing with respect to variable τ for all $t \in [0;T]$.

Thus the next lemma is true.

Lemma 1. *If inequality*

$$\varphi'(t)A(\varphi(t),t) > 0 \tag{23}$$

is fulfilled for all $t \in [0;T]$, then function (22) is a solution to equation (16) and belongs to the space G_1^0.

3.2. Orthogonality condition. Let us go over to the problem on existence of solution to equation (17) in the space G_1.

The following lemma ensures necessary and sufficient conditions of solvability of the problem.

Lemma 2. *Let inequality (23) be fulfilled for all $t \in [0;T]$ and $\mathcal{F}_j(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$, $j = \overline{1,N}$. Then equation (17) has a solution $v_j(t,\tau) \in G_1$, $j = \overline{1,N}$, if and only if*

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}_j(t,\tau)v_0(t,\tau)d\tau = 0, \quad j = \overline{1,N}. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Firstly, we show that a solution to equation (17) can be represented as follows

$$v_j(t,\tau) = \nu_j(t)\eta_j(t,\tau) + \psi_j(t,\tau), \quad j = \overline{1,N}, \tag{25}$$

where $\eta_j(t,\tau) \in G_1$ and $\lim\limits_{\tau\to-\infty} \eta_j(t,\tau) = 1$; $\psi_j(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$;

$$\nu_j(t) = [-\varphi' a_0(\varphi,t) + b_0(\varphi,t) + c_0(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t)]^{-1} \lim_{\tau\to-\infty} \Phi_j(t,\tau), \tag{26}$$

$$\Phi_j(t,\tau) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\tau} \mathcal{F}_j(t,\xi)d\xi + E_j(t). \tag{27}$$

Here value $E_j(t)$ no depends on variable τ and it can be found from condition

$$\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} \Phi_j(t,\tau) = 0.$$

To prove representation (25) we integrate equation (17) in τ in limits from $-\infty$ to τ. So, we obtain differential equation

$$Lv_j = \Phi_j(t,\tau), \tag{28}$$

where operator L is defined with formula

$$L = \varphi'(t)\,\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} - \varphi'(t)\,a_0(\varphi,t)+b_0(\varphi,t)+c_0(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t)+c_0(\varphi,t)v_0(t,\tau). \tag{29}$$

By virtue of formulae (25), (28) the function $\psi_j(t,\tau)$, $j=\overline{1,N}$, has to satisfy inhomogeneous equation

$$L\psi_j = \Phi_j - \nu_j L\eta. \tag{30}$$

Owing to property $ker\ L^* = \{v_{0\tau}\}$ and theorem on existence of a solution to the inhomogeneous equation with the one-dimensional Schrodinger operator in the space of quickly decreasing functions [43], we obtain the following statement: equation (30) has a solution in the space G_1^0 iff the following orthogonality condition

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\Phi_j - \nu_j L\eta)\, v_{0\tau}d\tau = 0, \quad j=\overline{1,N}, \tag{31}$$

takes place.

Finally, from (31), (27), (28), we deduce condition (24).

Lemma 2 is proved.

Relation (24) is called orthogonality condition. In case $j=1$ it may be used for deducing differential equation for function $\varphi=\varphi(t)$. The condition can be also used for determining an interval $[0;T]$ where asymptotic one phase soliton like solution has to be considered. The problem is studied in details below.

The following lemma describes more exact properties of solution to equation (17).

Lemma 3. *Let conditions of lemma 2 and relation (24) be satisfied. Then* $v_j(t,\tau)\in G_1^0$, $j=\overline{1,N}$, *if and only if the condition*

$$\lim_{\tau\to-\infty} \Phi_j(t,\tau) = 0, \quad j=\overline{1,N}, \tag{32}$$

is fulfilled.

The proof is trivial and it follows from representation (25).

In particular case as $j = 1$ condition (32) has the following form

$$a_0(\varphi,t)\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\sqrt{A(\varphi,t)\varphi'(t)}}{c_0(\varphi,t)}+\frac{\sqrt{A(\varphi,t)\varphi'(t)}}{c_0(\varphi,t)}\times$$

$$\times\left[a_{0x}(\varphi,t)\varphi'(t)-b_{0x}(\varphi,t)-c_{0x}(\varphi,t)u_0(\varphi,t)-\frac{A(\varphi,t)}{c_0(\varphi,t)}\right]=0. \qquad (33)$$

3.3. Differential equation for curve of discontinuity. Using (24) as $j = 1$ and (18) by means of tedious but not complicated calculations we find the second order ordinary differential equation for function $\varphi = \varphi(t)$ in the following form

$$\left[A_1\varphi'^{\,2}+A_2\varphi'+A_3\right]\varphi''+A_4\,\varphi'^{\,4}+A_5\,\varphi'^{\,3}+A_6\,\varphi'^{\,2}+A_7\,\varphi'=0, \qquad (34)$$

where coefficients $A_k = A_k(\varphi,t)$, $k=\overline{1,7}$, are given as follows

$$A_1 = 24\,a_0^2\,c_0,\ A_2=-8\,a_0\,c_0\,\alpha,\ A_3=-\,c_0\,\alpha^2,\ A_4=-40\,c_{0x}\,a_0^2+30a_0\,a_{0x}\,c_0,$$

$$A_5 = 60\,a_0\,c_{0x}\,\alpha+20\,a_0\,a_{0t}\,c_0-24\,a_0^2\,c_{0t}-30\,a_0\,c_0\,\alpha_x-15\,a_{0x}\,c_0\,\alpha+20a_0\,c_0^2\,u_{0x},$$

$$A_6 = -20\,a_0\,c_0\,\alpha_t\ -5\,a_{0t}\,c_0\alpha+15\,c_0\,\alpha\,\alpha_x+28\,a_0\,c_{0t}\,\alpha-20\,c_0^2u_{0x}\,\alpha-20\,c_{0x}\,\alpha^2,$$

$$A_7 = 5\,c_0\,\alpha\,\alpha_t-20\,c_{0t}\,\alpha^2,$$

where $\alpha = b_0 + c_0u_0$, $a_0 = a_0(\varphi,t)$, $b_0 = b_0(\varphi,t)$, $c_0 = c_0(\varphi,t)$, $u_0 = u_0(\varphi,t)$.

Differential equation (34) is nonlinear and has smooth coefficients. A problem on existence of its solution has to be studied in every case. In general the equation possesses a solution on only finite time interval. Therefore the supposed interval of existing its solution is denoted by $[0;T]$.

3.4. Exact solutions to system of differential equations (16), (17). General solution to equation (16) in space G_1^0 is given with formula (22). Let us proceed to searching a general solution to non-homogenous equation (17) in exact form through lemma 2 and lemma 3 providing us with necessary and sufficient conditions of existing solutions to equation (17) in space G_1.

It is possible to find solution to (17) after getting a solution to equation (28). The last one can be solved through the method of variation of parameters because it's a linear non-homogenous ordinary differential equation. According to described above procedure of constructing function $v_0(t,\tau)$ in (22), function $w_1(t,\tau) = v_{0\tau}$ is a fundamental solution to homogenous equation $Lw = 0$. The other linearly independent fundamental solution is given through Abel's formula [44]

$$w_2(t,\tau)=w_1(t,\tau)\int\limits_{\tau_0}^{\tau}w_1^{-2}(t,\tau)\,d\tau=v_{0\tau}(t,\tau)\int\limits_{\tau_0}^{\tau}v_{0\tau}^{-2}(t,\tau)\,d\tau.$$

Thus, general solution to non-homogeneous equation (17) is written in the form

$$v_j(t,\tau) = \left(\int\limits_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \Phi_j(t,\tau_1) v_{0\tau}(t,\tau_1)\, d\tau_1 + C_3 \right) v_{0\tau}(t,\tau) \int\limits_{\tau_0}^{\tau} v_{0\tau}^{-2}(t,\tau_1)\, d\tau_1 -$$

$$- \left(\int\limits_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \Phi_j(t,\tau_1) v_{0\tau}(t,\tau_1) \int\limits_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} v_{0\tau}^{-2}(t,\xi)\, d\xi\, d\tau_1 + C_4 \right) v_{0\tau}(t,\tau), \qquad (35)$$

where values C_3, C_4 are some real constants.

3.5. Constructing terms of the singular part of asymptotic. At last functions $V_j(x,t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{0,N}$, can be determined outside of the discontinuity curve Γ. Taking into consideration formulae (22), (35) providing us with their values on curve Γ we define functions $V_j(x,t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{0,N}$, through extension $v_j(t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{0,N}$, from curve Γ to its neighborhood.

Since $v_0(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$ we can put

$$V_0(x,t,\tau) = v_0(t,\tau). \qquad (36)$$

While extending $V_j(x,t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{1,N}$, it should be considered two cases. Firstly, we suppose condition (32) takes place, i.e. $v_j(t,\tau) \in G_1^0$. The case is similar to the one for $v_0(t,\tau)$. It means that extension of function $v_j(t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{1,N}$, from Γ to its neighborhood can be written as

$$V_j(x,t,\tau) = v_j(t,\tau). \qquad (37)$$

In opposite case when there isn't satisfied condition (32) we make use of representation (25). Thus extension of the function is realized as follows

$$V_j(x,t,\tau) = u_j^-(x,t)\eta(t,\tau) + \psi_j(t,\tau), \qquad (38)$$

where functions $\eta_j(t,\tau)$, $\psi_j(t,\tau)$, $j = \overline{1,N}$, are defined under describing formulae (25), (26) while function $u_j^-(x,t)$, $j = \overline{1,N}$, satisfies differential equation

$$\Lambda u_j^-(x,t) = f_j^-(x,t), \quad j = \overline{1,N}, \qquad (39)$$

$$\Lambda = a_0(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + b_0(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + c_0(x,t)u_0(x,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + c_0(x,t)u_{0x}(x,t). \qquad (40)$$

In particular, here

$$f_1^-(x,t) = 0, \quad f_2^-(x,t) = -a_1(x,t)\frac{\partial u_1^-}{\partial t} - b_1(x,t)\frac{\partial u_1^-}{\partial x} - c_1(x,t)u_1^-\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} -$$

$$-c_0(x,t)u_1^-\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - c_0(x,t)u_1\frac{\partial u_1^-}{\partial x} - c_0(x,t)u_1^-\frac{\partial u_1^-}{\partial x} - c_1(x,t)u_0\frac{\partial u_1^-}{\partial x}. \qquad (41)$$

In addition function $u_j^-(x,t)$, $j=\overline{1,N}$, is clear to satisfy condition

$$u_j^-(x,t)\Big|_\Gamma = \nu_j(t), \quad j=\overline{1,N}, \tag{42}$$

following from (25).

Thus, $u_j^-(x,t)$, $j=\overline{1,N}$, is a solution to the Cauchy problem (39), (42).

In general the Cauchy problem is well posed because curve Γ is transversal to characteristics of the operator Λ. It follows that the problem has a solution in some neighborhood $\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ of curve Γ.

So, the problem on constructing singular part of asymptotic (9) is completely solved.

Summarizing for the results given above, the following should be noted. We have found the form of asymptotic solutions to the singularly perturbed BBM equation with variable coefficients and have described the algorithm for constructing such solutions, that being the first main problem of asymptotic analysis that successfully applied for obtaining approximate solutions of special form. We turn to another important problem of asymptotic analysis relating to justification of the constructed asymptotic solutions.

4. Precision of asymptotic solution (9). Discussing the problem of asymptotic estimates for approximate solutions built for equation (4) we need to analyze the accuracy with which solutions satisfy this equation.

The asymptotic solutions for equation (4) are represented by formula (9) where their singular part is written in two ways depending on condition (32). However, both forms of the asymptotic solutions satisfy the equation with the same precision as confirmed by the following relevant statements.

Theorem 1. *Let the following conditions be supposed:*
1. functions $a_k(x,t)$, $b_k(x,t)$, $c_k(x,t)\in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}\times[0;T])$, $k=\overline{0,N}$;
2. inequality (23) is fulfilled;
3. orthogonality conditions (24) are satisfied;
4. conditions (32) are realized.

Then asymptotic one phase soliton like solution to equation (4) is written as

$$u_N(x,t,\varepsilon)=\begin{cases} Y_N^-(x,t,\varepsilon), & (x,t)\in D^-\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma),\\ Y_N(x,t,\varepsilon), & (x,t)\in\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma),\\ Y_N^+(x,t,\varepsilon), & (x,t)\in D^+\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma),\end{cases} \tag{43}$$

where

$$Y_N^-(x,t,\varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\varepsilon^j u_j(x,t), \quad (x,t)\in D^-=\{(x,t)\in\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]: x-\varphi(t)<0\}, \tag{44}$$

$$Y_N(x,t,\varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\varepsilon^j\left[u_j(x,t)+V_j(t,\tau)\right],\quad \tau=\frac{x-\varphi(t)}{\varepsilon},\quad (x,t)\in\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma), \tag{45}$$

$$Y_N^+(x,t,\varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\varepsilon^j u_j(x,t),\quad (x,t)\in D^+=\{(x,t)\in\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]: x-\varphi(t)>0\}. \tag{46}$$

In addition, function (43) satisfies equation (4) on the set $\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]$ *with accuracy* $O(\varepsilon^N)$. *As* $\tau\to\pm\infty$ *the function satisfies (4) with asymptotic estimate* $O(\varepsilon^{N+1})$.

Proof of theorem 1. Proving the theorem is not complicated and it's done in the standard way similarly to proof of analogous statement for the singularly perturbed KdV equation with variable coefficients that was in details described in [36]. That is why we avoid demonstration of tedious calculations in full here and we describe only the basic idea.

We have to get asymptotic estimate for discrepancy of the approximate solution that is given by formula (43).

From the structure of function (43) we can see that in domains $D^+\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$, $D^-\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ asymptotic solution contains only regular part of the asymptotic and in them it satisfies the equation with accuracy $O(\varepsilon^{N+1})$ through its determining.

Thus it's remained only to consider domain $\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$. So, function (43) is substituted into equation (4). Further, equations (12), (13) for regular part of asymptotic (43) are taken into account.

The next step is considering functions $a_j(x,t)$, $b_j(x,t)$, $c_j(x,t)$, $u_j(x,t)$, $j=\overline{0,N}$, in neighborhood of discontinuity curve Γ and their representation as Taylor polynomials with the required accuracy.

Finally, equations (16), (17) for singular parts of asymptotic (43) as well as property of functions $V_j(t,\tau)\in G_1^0$, $j=\overline{0,N}$, are used for obtaining asymptotic estimation of discrepancy for the approximate solution (43). This completes the proof of theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let the following conditions be supposed:*
1. functions $a_k(x,t)$, $b_k(x,t)$, $c_k(x,t)\in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}\times[0;T])$, $k=\overline{0,N}$;
2. inequality (23) is fulfilled;
3. orthogonality conditions (24) are satisfied;
4. the Cauchy problem (39), (42) has a solution on the set $\{(x,t)\in\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]: x-\varphi(t)\le 0\}$.

Then asymptotic one phase soliton like solution to equation (4) is written

as

$$u_N(x,t,\varepsilon)=\begin{cases} Y_N^-(x,t,\varepsilon), & (x,t)\in D^-\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma),\\ Y_N(x,t,\varepsilon), & (x,t)\in\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma),\\ Y_N^+(x,t,\varepsilon), & (x,t)\in D^+\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma),\end{cases} \tag{47}$$

where

$$Y_N^-(x,t,\varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\varepsilon^j u_j(x,t)+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\varepsilon^j u_j^-(x,t),\quad (x,t)\in D^-, \tag{48}$$

$$Y_N(x,t,\varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\varepsilon^j\left[u_j(x,t)+V_j(x,t,\tau)\right],\quad \tau=\frac{x-\varphi(t)}{\varepsilon},\quad (x,t)\in\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma), \tag{49}$$

$$Y_N^+(x,t,\varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\varepsilon^j u_j(x,t),\quad (x,t)\in D^+. \tag{50}$$

In addition, function (47) satisfies equation (4) with accuracy $O(\varepsilon^N)$ *on the set* $\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]$. *As* $\tau\to\pm\infty$ *the solution satisfies (4) with asymptotic estimate* $O(\varepsilon^{N+1})$.

Proof of theorem 2. Function (47) gives another form of asymptotic one phase soliton like solution to equation (4). However, the proof is similar to proof of theorem 1. In other words, we have also to obtain asymptotic estimate for discrepancy of the approximate solution that is given by formula (47).

In domains $\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$, $D^+\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ function (47) is the same as (43) through composition, in fact. So, the proof of theorem 2 is analogous to proof of theorem 1 for the case of these domains.

Finally, we consider set $D^-\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$. Here function (47) differs from (43) in expression $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N}\varepsilon^j u_j^-(x,t)$, $j=\overline{1,N}$. The last equates relation (4) with asymptotic precise $O(\varepsilon^{N+1})$, $\varepsilon\to 0$.

Thus, on the set $\mathbf{R}\times[0;T]$ function (47) satisfies equation (4) with accuracy $O(\varepsilon^N)$. Due to properties of functions forming the singular part of the asymptotic solution, it satisfies equation (4) with precision $O(\varepsilon^{N+1})$ as $\tau\to\pm\infty$.

Theorem 2 is proved.

5. Conclusions. The paper deals with a problem of constructing asymptotic one phase soliton like solutions to singularly perturbed Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equation with variable coefficients.

There is found form of asymptotic solutions to the equation and there is described an algorithm for obtaining such solutions in details. There is also analyzed important problem of asymptotic analysis relating to justification of the constructed asymptotic solutions. Namely, there are proved theorems on

accuracy with which the found asymptotic solutions satisfy the equation mentioned above.

The developed algorithm can be applied to constructing asymptotic soliton like solutions to other nonlinear integrable type differential equations.

References

[1] Zabusky N.J., Kruskal M.D. Interaction of solitons in a collisionless plasma and recurrence of initial states // Phys. Review Lett. – 1965. – V. 15. – P. 240 – 243.

[2] Wadati M. The modified Korteweg-de Vries equation // Journ. Phys. Soc. Jap. – 1973. – V. 34, № 6. – P. 1289 – 1296.

[3] Toda M. Nonlinear waves and solitons. – Tokyo: Kluwer Academic Publishers. – 1989. – 377 p.

[4] Kaup D.J., Newell A.C. An exact solution for a derivative nonlinear Schrodinger equation // Journ. Math. Phys. – 1978. – V. 19, № 4. – P. 798 – 801.

[5] Kadomtsev B.B., Petviashvili V.I. On the stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media // Sov. Phys. Dokl. – 1970. – V. 15. – P. 539 — 541.

[6] Kaup D.J. A higher-order water-wave equation and the method for solving it // Prog. Theor. Phys. – 1975. – V. 54. – P. 396 – 408.

[7] Gardner C.S., Green J.M., Kruskal M.D., Miura R.M. Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation // Physical Review Lett. – 1967. – V. 19. – P. 1095 – 1097.

[8] Newell A.C. Nonlinear wave motion. Lectures in Applied Mathematics. – Providence: American Math. Society. – 1974. – 229 p.

[9] Novikov S., Manakov S.V., Pitaevskii L.P., Zakharov V.E. Theory of solitons. The Inverse scattering method. – Berlin: Springer, 1984. – 276 p.

[10] Lamb G.R.,Jr. Elements of soliton theory. – New York: J. Wiley. – 1980. – 289 p.

[11] Calogero F., Degasperis A. Spectral transform and solitons. – Amsterdam: North-Holland. – 1982. – 516 p.

[12] Dodd R.K., Eilback J.C., Gibbon J.D., Morris H.C. Solitons and nonlinear wave equations. – Academic Pr., First Edition., 1982. – 630 p.

[13] Faddeev L.D., Takhtajan L.A. Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons. – Berlin: Springer, 2007. – 592 c.

[14] Prykarpatsky A.K., Samoilenko V.Hr., Andrushkiw R.I., Mitropolsky Yu.O., Prytula M.M. Algebraic structure of the gradient-holonomic algorithm for Lax integrable nonlinear dynamical systems. I // Journ. Math. Physics. – 1994. – V. 35, no. 4. – P. 1763 – 1777.

[15] Prykarpatskyj A.K., Samoilenko V.Hr., Andrushkiw R.I. Algebraic structure of the gradient-holonomic algorithm for Lax integrable nonlinear dynamical systems. II. The reduction via Dirac and canonical quantization procedure // Journ. Math. Physics. – 1994. – V. 35, no. 8. – P. 4088 – 4116.

[16] Prykarpatsky A.K., Mykytiuk I.V. Algebraic Integrability of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems on Manifolds. Classical and Quantum Aspects. – Dordrekht, Boston, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998. – 553 p.

[17] Ablowitz M.J. Nonlinear dispersive waves. Asymptotic analysis and solitons. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. – 2011. – 348 p.

[18] Blacmore D., Prykarpatsky A.K., Samoylenko V.Hr. Nonlinear dynamical systems of mathematical physics. Spectral and integrability analysis. – Singapore: World Scientific, 2011. – 564 p.

[19] Peregrin D.H. Calculations of the development of an undular bore // Journal of Fluid Mechanics. – 1966. – V. 25, Issue 2. – P. 321 – 330.

[20] Benjamin T.B., Bona J.L., Mahony J.J. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems // Philosoph. Trans. Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences. – 1972. – V. 272. – P. 47 — 78.

[21] Seadway A.R., Sayed A. Travelling wave solutions of the Benjamine-Bona-Mahony water wave equations // Abstract and Applied Analysis. – 2014. – V. 2014.– ID 926838, 7 p.

[22] Eilback J.C., McGruire G.R. Numerical studies of the regularized long wave equation. I: Numerical methods // Journal of Comp. Phys. – 1975. – V. 19. – P. 43 – 57.

[23] Eilback J.C., McGruire G.R. Numerical studies of the regularized long wave equation. II: Interaction of solitary waves // Journal of Comp. Phys. – 1977. – V. 23. – P. 63 – 73.

[24] Santarelli A.R. Numerical analysis of the regularized long-wave equation: Inelastic collision of solitary waves // Nuov Cim. B. – 1978. – V. 46. – P. 179 – 188.

[25] Abdulloyev Kh.O., Bogolubsky I.L., Makhankov V.G. One more example of inelastic soliton interaction // Phys. Letter A. – 1976. – V. 56. – P. 427 – 428.

[26] Bona J.L., Pritchard W.G., Scott L.R. Solitary wave interaction // Physics of Fluids. – 1980. – V. 23, № 3. – P. 438 — 441.

[27] Bona J.L., Pritchard W.G., Scott L.R. An evolution of a model equation for water waves // Phylos. Trans. Royal Soc. A. – 1981. – V. 302. – P. 457 — 510.

[28] Arora R., Kumar A. Soliton solution for the BBM and MRLW equations by cosin-functions method // Applied Mathematics. – 2011. – V. 2(1). – P. 59 – 61.

[29] Wazwaz A.M., Helal M.A. Nonlinear variants of the BBM equation with compact and noncompact physical structures // Chaos, Solitons and Fractals. – 2005. – V. 26. – P. 767 – 776.

[30] El G.A., Hoefer M.A., Shearer M. Expansion shock waves in regularized shallow-water theory // Proceedings of the Royal Society. A. – 2016. – V. 472, DOI: 10.1098/rspa.2016.0141.

[31] Mizumachi T. Asymptottic stability of solitary wave solution to the regularizad long-wave equation // Journal of Differential Equations. – 2004. – V. 200. – P. 312 – 341.

[32] Wang B., Yang W. Finite-dimensional behaviour for the Benjamine-Bona-Mahony equation // Journal of Physics A: Math. Gen. – 1997. – V. 30. – P. 4877 – 4885.

[33] Avrin J. Global existence for the Benjamin–Bona–Mahony equation in arbitrary dimensions // Nonlinear Analysis. – 1985. – Vol. 9, № 8. – P. 861 – 865.

[34] Samoylenko V.Hr., Samoylenko Yu.I. Asymptotic expansions for one-phase soliton-type solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation with variable coefficients // Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. – 2005. – V. 57, № 1. – P. 132 – 148.

[35] Samoylenko V.Hr., Samoylenko Yu.I. Asymptotic two-phase soliton like solutions of the singularly perturbed Korteweg-de Vries equation with variable coefficients // Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. – 2008. – V. 60, № 3. – P. 449 – 461.

[36] Samoylenko V.Hr., Samoylenko Yu.I. Asymptotic m-phase soliton-type solutions of a singularly perturbed Korteweg-de Vries equation with variable coefficients // Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. – 2012. – V. 64, № 7. – P. 1109 – 1127.

[37] Maslov V.P., Omel'yanov G.A. Geometric asymptotics for PDE. I. – Providence: American Math. Society, 2001. – 243 p.

[38] Miura R.M., Kruskal M. Application of non-linear WKB-method to the KdV equation // SIAM J. Appl. Math. – 1974. – V. 26, № 3. – P. 376 – 395.

[39] de Bruijn N.G. Asymptotic methods in analysis. – Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1958. – 224 p.

[40] Bogoliubov N.N., Mitropolsky Yu.A. Asymptotic methods in the theory of non-linear oscillations. – New York: Gordon and Breach. – 1961. – 537 p.

[41] Nayfeh A.H. Introduction to perturbation techniques. – A Wiley-interscience Publication: New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, 1993. – 519 p.

[42] Evans L.C. Partial Differential Equations. – Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1998. – 662 p.

[43] Samoylenko V.Hr., Samoylenko Yu.I. Existence of a solution to the inhomogeneous equation with the one-dimensional Schrodinger operator in the space of quickly decreasing functions // Journal of Mathematical Sciences. – 2012. – V. 187, № 1. – P. 70 – 76.

[44] Teschl G. Ordinary Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems. – Providence: American Mathematical Society, 2012. – 353 p.